UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,736	03/23/2004	Jerome David	SPINE 3 . 0-423	2555
	7590 09/03/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	8	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK			SHAFFER, RICHARD R	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/806,736	DAVID, JEROME
Examiner	Art Unit
Richard Shaffer	3733

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA <sup>-</sup>	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	(1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	•
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>16 June 2008</u> . A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be filed within two months of the
	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior	r to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	· · · ·
4. 📙 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26 and 28-32</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffici- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Status of the statile after straight a solett of attached
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does it	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S 13. Other:	B/08) Paper No(s)
/Eduardo C. Robert/	/Dishard Shaffar/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733	/Richard Shaffer/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 25 and 30 recite new structural limitations thereby changing the scope of the claims and therefore requiring additional consideration and/or search .